

# BAD SIMPLEX ARGUMENTS

MATTHEW SCALAMANDRE

These are notes for an expository talk at the Locally Trivial Seminar.

## 1. SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

We give two definitions of these objects:

- Definitions 1.1.**
- (Combinatorial Model): A *simplicial complex*  $X$  is a set  $V_X$  of vertices, together with a set  $S_X \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(V_X)$  which contains all singletons, and is closed under subsets.
  - (Topological Model): A simplicial complex is a CW-complex where  $D^i$  is modelled on  $\Delta^i$ , and where the only allowed attaching maps are the inclusions  $\partial\Delta^i \hookrightarrow \Delta^i$ . Further, we require that every simplex is uniquely determined by its set of vertices.

These are equivalent, by taking geometric realizations or looking at vertex sets. We have the following operation:

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a simplicial complex. The *barycentric subdivision*  $\text{sd } X$  has  $V_{\text{sd } X} = S_X$ , where  $\{\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n\}$  is a simplex if (in some ordering)

$$\sigma_0 \subsetneq \sigma_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \sigma_n.$$

It is always true that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\text{sd } X$ . A continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *simplicial* if, for every simplex  $\sigma \in X$ , we have that  $f(\sigma)$  is a simplex and  $f|_{\sigma}$  is linear.

Requiring that maps are simplicial on the nose is quite restrictive: for example, we would like  $\text{Hom}(\partial\Delta^1, X)$  to be something like  $\pi_1(X)$ . However, we can only realize a path of length 3 by a simplicial map. To solve this, we say  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a *map of simplicial complexes* if the induced map  $f : \text{sd}^k X \rightarrow \text{sd}^l Y$  is simplicial.

**Proposition 1.3.** *The functor  $\mathbf{sCx} \rightarrow \mathbf{hTop}$  is full and essentially surjective.*

Therefore,  $\mathbf{sCx}$  is a model of the homotopy category. The usual model is the category of CW complexes. The category  $\mathbf{sCx}$  has worse *categorical* properties, since products of simplicial complexes do *not* agree with the product in  $\mathbf{Top}$ . However, the objects of  $\mathbf{sCx}$  are nicer to work with geometrically.

We begin defining some useful geometric constructions:

**Definition 1.4.** The *join* of  $A$  and  $B$  is

$$\begin{aligned} A * B &= \text{“Draw an edge from every point of } A \text{ to every point of } B\text{”} \\ &= (V_A \cup V_B, \{\sigma * \tau \mid \sigma \in S_A, \tau \in S_B\}) \\ &\cong A \times B \times [0, 1] / \{(a, b_1, 0) \sim (a, b_2, 0), (a_1, b, 1) \sim (a_2, b, 1)\} \\ &\simeq \Sigma(A \wedge B) \quad (\text{pointing } A, B \text{ arbitrarily}). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $\sigma \in X$  be a  $k$ -simplex. Then, the *star* of  $\sigma$  is

$$\text{st}(\sigma) = \left( \bigcup_{\sigma \leq \tau} \tau \right) \subseteq X.$$

The *link* of  $\sigma$  is the complex  $\text{lk}(\sigma)$  whose simplex set is  $\{\tau \in S_X \mid \sigma \leq \tau\}$ . (What is the vertex set?)

To relate these complexes, observe that  $\text{st}(\sigma) = \sigma * \text{lk}(\sigma)$ . Therefore,  $\text{st}(\sigma)$  is contractible, and  $\text{st}(\sigma) \setminus \overset{\circ}{\sigma} \simeq \Sigma^{\dim(\sigma)} \text{lk}(\sigma)$ .

*Warning 1.6.*  $\text{st}(\sigma)$  is not always a full subcomplex of  $X$ , i.e. there may be a simplex  $\tau$  of  $X$  with  $\partial\tau \in \text{st}(\sigma)$  but  $\tau \notin \text{st}(\sigma)$ . If this always holds,  $X$  is called a *flag complex*. Subdivisions are *always* flag complexes.

## 2. BAD SIMPLEX ARGUMENT

We follow §2.1 of Hatcher–Vogtmann.

Let  $X$  be a simplicial complex, and  $Y$  be a subcomplex of  $X$ . We want to relate their homotopy types. Say that  $S \subset S_X \setminus S_Y$  is a *collection of bad simplices* for  $X, Y$  if both of the following conditions hold.

- (1) If  $\sigma$  has no faces in  $S$ , then  $\sigma \in Y$ .
- (2) If two faces  $\mu, \tau$  of a simplex  $\sigma$  are bad, so is their internal join  $\mu \cup \tau$ .

Let  $\sigma \in S$ , and let

$$G_\sigma = \{\tau \in \text{lk}(\sigma) \mid \text{All bad faces of } \tau \text{ lie in } \sigma\}.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *If, for all  $\sigma \in S$ , the complex  $G_\sigma$  is  $(j - \dim(\sigma) - 1)$ -connected, then  $\pi_i(X, Y) = 0$  for  $i \leq j$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $i \leq j$ , and let  $f : (D^i, S^{i-1}) \rightarrow (X, Y)$ . Without loss of generality,  $f$  is simplicial with respect to some refinement of the standard triangulation of  $D^i$ . Let  $\mu$  be a maximal simplex of  $D^i$  such that  $\sigma = f(\mu)$  is bad. It follows by property (2) of  $S$  that  $\text{im}(f|_{\text{lk}(\mu)}) \subseteq G_\sigma$ . Since we are using the standard triangulation of  $D^i$ , we have

$$\text{lk}(\mu) \cong S^{i - \dim(\mu) - 1}.$$

Since  $G_\sigma$  is  $(j - \dim(\sigma) - 1)$ -connected and  $\dim(\sigma) \leq \dim(\mu)$ , the map  $f|_{\text{lk}(\mu)}$  is nullhomotopic in  $G_\sigma$ .

First, we retriangulate  $\text{st}(\mu) \subset D^i$  as  $C \text{lk}(\mu) * \partial\mu$ . Define  $g$  to agree with  $f$  off of the interior of  $\text{st}(\mu)$ . To define the map on the interior of  $\text{st}(\mu)$ , hang the nullhomotopy of  $f|_{\text{lk}(\mu)}$  off the cone  $C \text{lk}(\mu)$ , and join the result over  $f|_{\partial\mu}$ . Since all modifications to  $f$  happen within the contractible  $G_\sigma * \sigma$ , we have  $f \simeq g$ . We have reduced the number of simplices of  $D^i$  mapping to bad simplices. Since  $D^i$  is compact, we can repeat the process until no bad simplices are in the image. By Property 1, the image of  $f$  lies in  $Y$ , as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $X, Y$  be as above, and let  $j$  be an integer so that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i(Y) &\cong \pi_i(X) && \text{for } i < j \\ \pi_j(Y) &\twoheadrightarrow \pi_j(X). \end{aligned}$$

Both of these are useful results!

3. EXAMPLE: MAAZEN

Let  $\mathcal{B}_n$  be the complex whose vertices are unimodular vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , and which has a  $k$ -simplex for every partial basis of size  $k + 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_n^m = \text{lk}(\{e_1, \dots, e_m\})$ .

**Theorem 3.1** (Maazen).  $\mathcal{B}_n^m$  is  $(n - 2)$ -connected.

This implies slope-1 homological stability for  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  (by Bernard–Miller–Sroka; Maazen found a worse range),  $H^{\binom{n}{2}}(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  (see Church–Farb–Putman), etc.

*Proof (Church–Putman):* Let  $X_N$  be the full subcomplex on those vertices with  $|\text{Last coordinate}| \leq N$ . The complex  $X_0$  lies in the contractible  $\text{st}(e_{m+n})$ . Any simplicial map  $S^k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n^m$  lies in some  $X_N$ , by compactness of  $S^k$ . If we can show that  $(X_N, X_{N-1})$  is  $k$ -connected for all  $N$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_n^m$  is  $k$ -connected.

We show this by a bad simplex argument. Let  $S$  be the set of simplices all of whose vertices have  $|\text{last coordinate}| = N$ . If  $\sigma$  is such a simplex, then

$$G_\sigma = \text{lk}^{<N}(\sigma) = \text{lk}(\sigma) \cap X_{N-1}.$$

We need to show that  $G_\sigma$  is  $(n - \dim(\sigma) - 3)$ -connected. The full link is highly enough connected, by induction, since

$$\text{lk}(\sigma) \cong \mathcal{B}_{n-\dim(\sigma)-1}^{m+\dim(\sigma)+1}.$$

To show that  $G_\sigma$  carries the same connectivity, we construct a retraction:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\sigma \in S$ , and choose  $w \in \sigma$  with last coordinate  $\pm N$ . There is a retraction  $\rho : \text{lk}(\sigma) \rightarrow G_\sigma$ , defined on vertices by*

$$\rho(v) = v \mp \left\lfloor \frac{v_{m+n}}{N} \right\rfloor w.$$

**Exercise 3.3.** Prove Lemma 3.2 by verifying that  $\rho$  extends over the simplices of  $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ .

Returning to the proof of Maazen’s theorem, if  $i \leq (n - \dim(\sigma) - 3)$ , we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & 0 & & \\ & & \parallel & & \\ \pi_i(G_\sigma) & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & \pi_i(\text{lk}(\sigma)) & \xrightarrow{\rho_*} & \pi_i(G_\sigma). \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \text{id}_{G_\sigma} & & \end{array}$$

It follows that  $G_\sigma$  is  $(n - \dim(\sigma) - 3)$ -connected, and therefore that  $(X_N, X_{N-1})$  is  $(n - 2)$ -connected. Therefore,  $\mathcal{B}_n^m$  is  $(n - 2)$ -connected.  $\square$